|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CATEGORY** | **4** | **3** | **2** | **1** |
| **LAB WORK & COOPERATION****(4 points)** | **ALL** of the following items were sufficiently met during lab work time:* Proper preparation/dress
* On-task, without reminders
* Remained at assigned work station, unless given permission from teacher
* Each student completed his/her role during lab.
* Clean-Up procedures completed without reminders
 | **One** of the items was insufficient | **Two** of the items was insufficient | **All** of the items were insufficient |
| **COMPLETENESS****(4 points)** | **All** Lab Requirements **90-100% COMPLETE*** Quantitative/Qualitative Observations are complete
* All questions were answered.
 | **80-89% COMPLETE** | **70-79 % COMPLETE** | **LESS THAN 69% COMPLETE** |
| **COMPREHENSION****(8 points)** | **Student answers exceeded the standard.** Student gave specific details or examples to explain his/her answer. Answers show a complete understanding of the material. | **Student answers met the standard, but no additional details or examples were given.** | **Student answers did not meet 1-2 benchmark in the standard.** | **Student answers were far below the standard. He/she demonstrated little evidence of learning.** |
| **NEATNESS****(4 points)** | Lab was **exceptionally** neat. * There were no watermarks, tears, rips, or major flaws in the paper.
* Penmanship is excellent.
 | Lab was **generally** neat.There may be 1 SMALL flaw, but overall, appearance is good. Penmanship is good. | Lab was **acceptable**, but messy. There may be 2 or 3 flaws. The overall appearance is average. Penmanship is legible, but messy. | Lab was **unacceptably** messy. There were rips, tears, water damage, etc. Overall, appearance is poor. Penmanship is hardly readable. |
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